

From: [Born, Tom](#)
To: [Jennifer Schultz - NOAA Federal](#); [Ron Dean - NOAA Federal](#); [Ron Dean](#); [Crane, Drew](#)
Cc: [Hewitt, Julie](#); [Wade, Alexis](#); [Piziali, Jamie](#); [Zobrist, Marcus](#); [Saxena, Juhi](#); [Witt, Richard](#); [Levine, MaryEllen](#); [Wood, Robert](#); [Neugeboren, Steven](#)
Subject: Second Draft of the 316b ESA Biological Evaluation
Date: Thursday, May 16, 2013 7:10:18 AM
Attachments: [316b ESA Biological Evaluation Second Draft 5-16-13.docx](#)

Attachment Withheld - b5 Deliberative Process

Hi Jenny, Ron, and Drew,

I have attached the second draft of the 316b ESA biological evaluation for your review.

The goal of our discussion on Tuesday will be to reach agreement on a date by which we could have materials complete to initiate our request for formal consultation.

It would be helpful if the Services could provide us with a single set of comments listing any analytical gaps that you would still like us to address in the biological evaluation in order to initiate formal consultation. We can use your list of gaps to guide our discussion of the schedule on Tuesday.

In addition to the list of gaps, it would also be helpful if the Services could provide us with a track changes markup of your specific comments on the biological evaluation. We don't need your markup for Tuesday necessarily, but we will need to address your specific comments on the BE prior to requesting formal consultation. Depending on how significant your specific comments are, we may need an additional meeting or conference call to discuss them.

Also, thanks for agreeing to meet with us here at EPA. We will be in the conference room we have used previously for 316b ESA meetings. We will plan to meet at 9:00 am on Tuesday if that still works for you.

Tom